



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|-------------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/619,407 | 07/15/2003 | Michael C. Sorrells | 16-356 | 7650 | |
| 7590 02/16/2006 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| Volvo Corporate Patents | | | BOSWELL, CHRISTOPHER J | | |
| 7825 National Service Rd. M.S. AP1/3-41 Greensboro, NC 27409 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3676 | | |
| | | DATE MAILED: 02/16/2006 | | | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|---------------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/619,407 | SORRELLS ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Christopher Boswell | 3676 | | |

| | Christopher Boswell | 3676 | |
|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 25 January 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods: | the same day as filing a Notice or ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in | f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Ci | rce, which FR 41.31; or (3) |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70 | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fortl ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f). | ng date of the final rejection in the FIRST REPLY WAS F | on. ILED WITHIN |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply original than three months after the mailing discount that the mailing discount the mai | t of the fee. The appropri ginally set in the final Offi ate of the final rejection, o | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t | o avoid dismissal of th | s of the date of e appeal. Since |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the second cont | nsideration and/or search (see NC w); ter form for appeal by materially r | OTE below); educing or simplifying | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). | : | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 6-24. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | rill be entered and an e | explanation of |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | d sufficient reasons why the affida | vit or other evidence is | necessary and |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appe | eal and/or appellant fai | Is to provide a |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | n of the status of the claims after o | entry is below or attach | ned. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. | t does NOT place the application | in condition for allowar | nce because: |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other: | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper | Suzanne Dino Barre | A . |

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments filed January 25, 2006 are not persuasive and do not put the application in better condition for allowance.